Table of Contents

Abstract 3

Acknowledgments 5

Table of Contents 7

Chapter 1 Introduction 11

1.1 Structure of This Thesis 14

1.2 Related Work 15

Chapter 2 Evolution of Computer Game Playing Techniques 17

2.1 Why Games? 18

2.2 Early Foundations 20

2.3 Partial State-Graph Search 22

2.3.1 State-graph ontology 22

2.3.2 Partial state-graph epistemology 26

2.3.3 Partial state-graph methodology 28

2.3.3.1 Choosing a partial graph to examine 30

2.3.3.2 Deriving useful information from a partial graph 30

2.3.4 Is partial state-graph search really what we want? 31

2.4 The Minimax Algorithm 32

2.4.1 Formalization of the minimax propagation rule 33

2.4.2 Basic assumptions 34

2.4.3 Alpha-beta pruning 37

2.4.4 Pathology 38

2.5 Probabilistic evaluation 40

2.5.1 Modifying minimax's assumptions 41

2.5.2 The product rule 43

2.5.3 Node value semantics 45

2.5.4 Experiments on sibling interdependence 50
2.5.4.1 A quantitative measure of dependence 50
2.5.4.2 Measuring degrees of dependence between siblings 52
2.5.4.3 Experiments on tic-tac-toe 54
2.5.4.4 Experiments on Dodgem 60
2.5.4.5 Comparing minimax to the product rule in Dodgem 65
2.5.4.6 Conclusions regarding the independence assumption 67
2.6 Selective search. 69
2.7 Decision-theoretic search control 71
2.8 Baum-Smith Search 73
2.8.1 Evaluating partial trees using BPIP-DFISA 74
2.8.1.1 The ensemble view 75
2.8.1.2 Best Play for Imperfect Players 76
2.8.1.3 Depth-free independent staircase approximation 79
2.8.1.4 Leaf evaluation 80
2.8.1.5 Backing up distributions 81
2.8.2 Constructing partial trees 82
2.8.2.1 Gulp trick 84
2.8.2.2 Leaf expansion importance 85
2.8.2.3 Expected Step Size 86
2.8.2.4 Influence functions 87
2.8.2.5 Termination of growth 87
2.8.3 Problems 88
2.9 What's Next for CGP? 89
2.9.1 Generalizing the notion of games 90
2.9.2 Abstract search. 90
2.9.3 More automated training of evaluation functions 93
2.9.4 A note on domains 94
2.10 Towards new advances 95
2.11 Automatic Evaluation Functions 96
2.11.1 The Problem. 96

2.11.2 The Proposed Solution . . . 97
2.11.2.1 Application of the Technique . . . 99
2.11.2.2 Problems With this Approach . . . 100
2.11.3 A More Refined Version . . . 101
2.12 Towards CGP as a True Testbed for AI . . . 102

Chapter 3 Limited Rationality . . . 105
3.1 History of LR in Decision Theory and Economics . . . 107
3.2 Efforts Within Computer Game-Playing . . . 110
3.3 Application to Decision-Analysis . . . 111
3.3.1 Initial investigations . . . 113
3.3.2 Motivation for Spider Solitaire . . . 114
3.3.2.1 Evaluating the method . . . 116
3.3.3 Results to date . . . 117
3.4 Other LR work in AI . . . 121
3.5 Towards a formal theory . . . 122
3.5.1 Motivation . . . 122
3.5.2 Probability notation . . . 123
3.5.3 Domain Languages, Information States, and Agents . . . 126
3.5.4 Rational Interpretations of Agents . . . 128
3.5.5 Limited Rational Agents . . . 129
3.5.6 Notation for describing metareasoners . . . 130
3.5.7 Knowledge-relative utilities . . . 132
3.6 Directions for future development . . . 134

Chapter 4 Abstract State-Space Search . . . 137
4.1 Planning and game-playing . . . 138
4.2 Restrictiveness of current CGP methods . . . 139
4.3 Abstraction in game-playing and planning . . . 140
4.4 A unifying view of abstraction . . . 142
4.5 The development of abstract reasoning algorithms . . . 144

4.6 Probabilities and utilities of abstractions 145
4.7 Abstraction networks 146
4.8 Conclusion—state of work in progress. 148

Chapter 5 Summary and Conclusions 149

Bibliography 153