Numerical Geometry of Nonrigid Shapes



Computing Geodesic Distances

Justin Solomon MIT, Spring 2017



Geodesic Distances



Geodesic distance

[jee-*uh*-**des**-ik **dis**-t*uh*-ns]:

Length of the shortest path, constrained not to leave the manifold.

Complicated Problem



Straightest Geodesics on Polyhedral Surfaces (Polthier and Schmies)

Local minima

Reality Check



Extrinsic may suffice for near vs. far

Related Queries



Single source





Computer Scientists' Approach



Approximate geodesics as paths along edges

http://www.cse.ohio-state.edu/~tamaldey/isotopic.html

Meshes are graphs

Pernicious Test Case



Pernicious Test Case



Pernicious Test Case



Distances



What Happened

Asymmetric

Anisotropic

May not improve under refinement

Conclusion 1

Graph shortest-path does *not* converge to geodesic distance.

Often an acceptable approximation.

Conclusion 2

Geodesic distances are need special discretization.

So, we need to understand the theory!

\begin{math}

Three Possible Definitions

Globally shortest path

Local minimizer of length

Locally straight path







Energy of a Curve

$$L[\gamma] := \int_{a}^{b} \|\gamma'(t)\| dt$$

Easier to work with:
$$E[\gamma] := \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \|\gamma'(t)\|^2 dt$$

Lemma:
$$L^2 \leq 2(b-a)E$$

Equality exactly when parameterized by arc length. Proof on board.

First Variation of Arc Length

Lemma. Let γ_t : $[a, b] \rightarrow S$ be a family of curves with fixed endpoints in surface S; assume γ is parameterized by arc length at t=0. Then, $\frac{d}{dt}E[\gamma_t]\Big|_{t=0} = -\int_a^b \left(\frac{d\gamma_t(s)}{dt} \cdot \operatorname{proj}_{T_{\gamma_t(s)}S}[\gamma_t''(s)]\right) ds$

Corollary. γ : $[a, b] \rightarrow S$ is a geodesic iff $\operatorname{proj}_{T_{\gamma(s)}S} [\gamma''(s)] = 0$

Intuition

$$\operatorname{proj}_{T_{\gamma(s)}S}\left[\gamma''(s)\right] = 0$$

The only acceleration is out of the surface No steering wheel!



Two Local Perspectives

$$\operatorname{proj}_{T_{\gamma(s)}S}\left[\gamma''(s)\right] = 0$$

Boundary value problem Given: γ(0), γ(1)

Initial value problem (ODE)
Given: γ(0), γ'(0)

Exponential Map



$$\exp_p(v) := \gamma_v(1)$$

 $\gamma_v(1)$ where γ_v is (unique) geodesic from pwith velocity v.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Exponential_map_(Riemannian_geometry)

Instability of Geodesics





Locally minimizing distance is not enough to be a shortest path!

Cut Locus



Cut point:

Point where geodesic ceases to be minimizing

http://www.cse.ohio-state.edu/~tamaldey/paper/geodesic/cutloc.pdf

Set of cut points from a source p

Eikonal Equation



https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/24827-hamilton-jacobi-solver-on-unstructured-triangulargrids/content/HJB_Solver_Package/@SolveEikonal/SolveEikonal.m

\end{math}

Starting Point for Algorithms

Graph shortest path algorithms are well-understood.

Can we use them (carefully) to compute geodesics?

Useful Principles

"Shortest path had to come from somewhere."

"All pieces of a shortest path are optimal."

Dijkstra's Algorithm

- $v_0 =$ Source vertex
- $d_i =$ Current distance to vertex i

S = Vertices with known optimal distance

Initialization:

 $d_0 = 0$ $d_i = \infty \ \forall i > 0$ $S = \{\}$

Dijkstra's Algorithm

- $v_0 =$ Source vertex
- $d_i =$ Current distance to vertex i

S = Vertices with known optimal distance

Iteration k:

$$k = \arg\min_{v_k \in V \setminus S} d_k$$

 $S \leftarrow v_k$ $d_{\ell} \leftarrow \min\{d_{\ell}, d_k + d_{k\ell}\} \forall \text{ neighbors } v_{\ell} \text{ of } v_k$



Inductive During each iteration, S proof: remains optimal.

Advancing Fronts



Example



http://www.iekucukcay.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/09/dijkstra.gif

Fast Marching

Dijkstra's algorithm, modified to approximate geodesic distances.

Problem



Planar Front Approximation



http://research.microsoft.com/en-us/um/people/hoppe/geodesics.pdf

At Local Scale



Planar Calculations



Given: $d_1 = n^{\top} x_1 + p$ $d_2 = n^{\top} x_2 + p$ $V^{\top} n + p \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 1} = d$ Find:

 $d_3 = n^{\top} x_3^0 + p = p$

Derivation from Bronstein et al., *Numerical Geometry of Nonrigid Shapes*

Planar Calculations

$$d = V^{\top}n + p\mathbf{1}_{2\times 1}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$n = V^{-\top}(d - p\mathbf{1}_{2\times 1})$$

$$1 = n^{\top}n$$

$$= p^{2}\mathbf{1}_{2\times 1}^{\top}Q\mathbf{1}_{2\times 1} - 2p\mathbf{1}_{2\times 1}^{\top}Qd + d^{\top}Qd$$

$$Q := (V^{\top}V)^{-1}$$

Planar Calculations

$$1 = p^2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 1}^\top Q \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 1} - 2p \cdot \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 1}^\top Q d + d^\top Q d$$

Quadratic equation for *p*



Two Roots



Bronstein et al., Numerical Geometry of Nonrigid Shapes

Two orientations for the normal

Larger Root: Consistent



Bronstein et al., Numerical Geometry of Nonrigid Shapes

Two orientations for the normal

Additional Issue



Front from outside the triangle

Condition for Front Direction



Front from outside the triangle

Obtuse Triangles



Bronstein et al., Numerical Geometry of Nonrigid Shapes

Must reach x_3 after x_1 and x_2

Fixing the Issues

• Alternative edge-based update: $d_3 \leftarrow \min\{d_3, d_1 + ||x_1||, d_2 + ||x_2||\}$

 Add connections as needed [Kimmel and Sethian 1998]



Summary: Update Step

input : non-obtuse triangle with the vertices x_1, x_2, x_3 , and the corresponding arrival times d_1, d_2, d_3

- **output** : updated d_3
- **1** Solve the quadratic equation

$$p = \frac{1_{2 \times 1}^{\mathrm{T}} Q d + \sqrt{(1_{2 \times 1}^{\mathrm{T}} Q d)^2 - 1_{2 \times 1}^{\mathrm{T}} Q \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 1} \cdot (d^{\mathrm{T}} Q d - 1)}}{1_{2 \times 1}^{\mathrm{T}} Q \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 1}}$$

where $V = (x_1 - x_3, x_2 - x_3)$, and $d = (d_1, d_2)^{\mathrm{T}}$. **2** Compute the front propagation direction $n = V^{-\mathrm{T}}(d - p \cdot \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 1})$

3 if
$$(V^{\mathrm{T}}V)^{-1}V^{\mathrm{T}}n < 0$$
 then
4 $d_{3} \leftarrow \min\{d_{3}, p\}$
5 else
6 $d_{3} \leftarrow \min\{d_{3}, d_{1} + ||x_{1}||, d_{2} + ||x_{2}||\}$
7 end

Fast Marching vs. Dijkstra

Modified update step

Update all triangles adjacent to a given vertex

Eikonal Equation



Solutions are geodesic distance





Modifying Fast Marching



Modifying Fast Marching



Bronstein, Numerical Geometry of Nonrigid Shapes

Grids and parameterized surfaces

Alternative to Eikonal Equation

Algorithm 1 The Heat Method

- I. Integrate the heat flow $\dot{u} = \Delta u$ for time t.
- II. Evaluate the vector field $X = -\nabla u / |\nabla u|$.
- III. Solve the Poisson equation $\Delta \phi = \nabla \cdot X$.



Crane, Weischedel, and Wardetzky. "Geodesics in Heat." TOG 2013.

Tracing Geodesic Curves



Trace gradient of distance function

Initial Value Problem



Trace a single geodesic exactly

Exact Geodesics

SIAM J. COMPUT. Vol. 16, No. 4, August 1987 © 1987 Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics 005

THE DISCRETE GEODESIC PROBLEM*

JOSEPH S. B. MITCHELL[†], DAVID M. MOUNT[‡] AND CHRISTOS H. PAPADIMITRIOU[§]

Abstract. We present an algorithm for determining the shortest path between a source and a destination on an arbitrary (possibly nonconvex) polyhedral surface. The path is constrained to lie on the surface, and distances are measured according to the Euclidean metric. Our algorithm runs in time $O(n^2 \log n)$ and requires $O(n^2)$ space, where *n* is the number of edges of the surface. After we run our algorithm, the distance from the source to any other destination may be determined using standard techniques in time $O(\log n)$ by locating the destination in the subdivision created by the algorithm. The actual shortest path from the source to a destination can be reported in time $O(k + \log n)$, where k is the number of faces crossed by the path. The algorithm generalizes to the case of multiple source points to build the Voronoi diagram on the surface, where n is now the maximum of the number of vertices and the number of sources.

Key words. shortest paths, computational geometry, geodesics, Dijkstra's algorithm

AMS(MOS) subject classification. 68E99

MMP Algorithm: Big Idea



Dijkstra-style front with *windows* explaining source.

Surazhsky et al. "Fast Exact and Approximate Geodesics on Meshes." SIGGRAPH 2005.

Practical Implementation

Fast Exact and Approximate Geodesics on Meshes

Vitaly Surazhsky University of Oslo

Tatiana Surazhsky University of Oslo

Danil Kirsanov Harvard University Steven J. Gortler Harvard University

Hugues Hoppe Microsoft Research

Abstract

The computation of geodesic paths and distances on triangle meshes is a common operation in many computer graphics applications. We present several practical algorithms for computing such geodesics from a source point to one or all other points efficiently. First, we describe an implementation of the exact "single source, all destination" algorithm presented by Mitchell, Mount, and Papadimitriou (MMP). We show that the algorithm runs much faster in practice than suggested by worst case analysis. Next, we extend the algorithm with a merging operation to obtain computationally efficient and accurate approximations with bounded error. Finally, to compute the shortest path between two given points, we use a lower-bound property of our approximate geodesic algorithm to efficiently prune the frontier of the MMP algorithm, thereby obtaining an exact solution even more quickly.

Keywords: shortest path, geodesic distance.

Introduction 1

In this paper we present practical methods for computing both exact and approximate shortest (i.e. geodesic) paths on a triangle mesh. These geodesic paths typically cut across faces in the mesh and are therefore not found by the traditional graph-based Dijkstra algorithm for shortest paths. http://code.google.com/p/geodesic/

The computation of geodesic paths computer graphics applications. mesh often involves cutting the mesh into one or more charts

(e.g. [Krishnamurthy and Levoy 1996; Sander et al. 2003]), and



Figure 1: Geodesic paths from a source vertex, and isolines of the geodesic distance function.

tance function over the edges, the implementation is actually practical even though, to our knowledge, it has never been done previously. We demonstrate that the algorithm's worst case running time of $O(n^2 \log n)$ is pessimistic, and that in practice, the algorithm runs in sub-quadratic time. For instance, we can compute the exact geodesic distance from a source point to all vertices of a







http://www.cse.ohio-state.edu/~tamaldey/paper/geodesic/cutloc.pdf

Set of cut points from a source p

Fuzzy Geodesics

$$G_{p,q}^{\sigma}(x) := \exp(-|d(p,x) + d(x,q) - d(p,q)| / \sigma)$$

Function on surface expressing difference in triangle inequality

"Intersection" by pointwise multiplication

Sun, Chen, Funkhouser. "Fuzzy geodesics and consistent sparse correspondences for deformable shapes." CGF2010.

Stable version of geodesic distance

Stable Measurement



Campen and Kobbelt. "Walking On Broken Mesh: Defect-Tolerant Geodesic Distances and Parameterizations." Eurographics 2011.

All-Pairs Distances



Xin, Ying, and He. "Constant-time all-pairs geodesic distance query on triangle meshes." I3D 2012.

Geodesic Voronoi & Delaunay



Fig. 4.12 Geodesic remeshing with an increasing number of points.

From Geodesic Methods in Computer Vision and Graphics (Peyré et al., FnT 2010)

High-Dimensional Problems



Heeren et al. *Time-discrete geodesics in the space of shells*. SGP 2012.

In ML: Be Careful!

Shortest path distance in random k-nearest neighbor graphs

Morteza Alamgir¹ Ulrike von Luxburg^{1,2}

MORTEZA@TUEBINGEN.MPG.DE ULRIKE.LUXBURG@TUEBINGEN.MPG.DE

¹ Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Tübingen, Germany ² Department of Computer Science, University of Hamburg, Germany

Abstract

Consider a weighted or unweighted k-nearest neighbor graph that has been built on n data points drawn randomly according to some

density p on \mathbb{R}^d . We study the convergence of the shortest path distance in such grap the sample size tends to infinity. We tance converges to an unpleasant dis function on the underlying space whose erties are detrimental to machine least We also study the behavior of the sh path distance in weighted kNN graphs.

The first question has already been studied in some special cases. Tenenbaum et al. (2000) discuss the case of ε - and kNN graphs when p is uniform and D is the geodesic distance. Sajama & Orlitsky (2005) extend these results to ε -graphs from a general density p by

We prove

that for unweighted kNN graphs, this that for unweighted kNN graphs, this distance converges to an unpleasant distance function on the underlying space whose properties are detrimental to machine learning.

trary density n

In ML: Be Careful!

Geodesic Exponential Kernels: When Curvature and Linearity Conflict

Aasa Feragen DIKU, University of Copenhagen Denmark

aasa@diku.dk

Abstract

We consider kernel methods on general geodesic metric spaces and provide both negative and positive results. First we show that the common Gaussian kernel can only be generalized to a positive definite kernel on a geodesic metric space if the space is flat. As a result, for data on a Rieman-



Theorem 2. Let M be a complete, smooth Riemannian manifold with its associated geodesic distance metric d. Assume, moreover, that $k(x, y) = \exp(-\lambda d^2(x, y))$ is a PD geodesic Gaussian kernel for all $\lambda > 0$. Then the Riemannian manifold M is isometric to a Euclidean space.

curved spaces, including spheres and hyperbolic spaces. Our theoretical results are verified empirically. and show the following results, summarized in Table 1.

	Extends to general	
Kernel	Metric spaces	Riemannian manifolds
Gaussian ($q = 2$)	No (only if flat)	No (only if Euclidean)
Laplacian $(q = 1)$	Yes, iff metric is CND	Yes, iff metric is CND
Geodesic exp. $(q > 2)$	Not known	No
Table 1. Overview of results: For a geodesic metric, when is the		
geodesic exponential kernel (1) positive definite for all $\lambda > 0$?		

francois@diku.dk

François Lauze

DIKU, University of Copenhagen

Denmark

Søren Hauberg DTU Compute Denmark

sohau@dtu.dk

Numerical Geometry of Nonrigid Shapes



Computing Geodesic Distances

Justin Solomon MIT, Spring 2017

