MASSACHVSETTS INSTITVTE OF TECHNOLOGY Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science 6.001—Structure and Interpretation of Computer Programs Fall Semester, 1998

Lecture Notes - Oct. 15, 1998

STREAMS

Contract for the stream constructor and the selectors:

(stream-car (cons-stream <x> <y>)) ==> <x>
(stream-cdr (cons-stream <x> <y>)) ==> <y>

A simple implementation of streams simply lifts the list abstraction and renames it.

```
(define the-empty-stream '())
(define stream-null? null?)
(define cons-stream cons)
(define stream-car car)
(define stream-cdr cdr)
```

Now we can do all the normal sorts of things we do with lists:

```
(define (add-streams s1 s2)
 (cond ((stream-null? s1) the-empty-stream)
        ((stream-null? s2) the-empty-stream)
        (else (cons-stream
               (+ (stream-car s1) (stream-car s2))
               (add-streams (stream-cdr s1)
                            (stream-cdr s2))))))
(define (stream-filter pred s)
 (cond ((stream-null? s) the-empty-stream)
        ((not (pred (stream-car s)))
         (stream-cdr s))
        (else (cons-stream (stream-car s)
                            (stream-filter pred
                                            (stream-cdr s))))))
(define (stream-ref s n)
 (if (= n 0))
    (stream-car s)
    (stream-ref (stream-cdr s) (- n 1))))
(define (stream-map proc s)
 (if (stream-null? s)
   the-empty-stream
    (cons-stream (proc (stream-car s))
                 (stream-map proc (stream-cdr s)))))
(define (stream-scale factor s)
 (stream-map (lambda (x) (* factor x))
             s))
(define (stream-for-each proc s)
 (if (stream-null? s)
    'done
    (begin (proc (stream-car s))
           (stream-for-each proc (stream-cdr s)))))
```

```
(define (display-stream s)
 (stream-for-each display-line s))
(define (display-line x)
 (newline)
 (display x))
```

We can make up streams of integers over some interval with:

And we can accumulate sums, products, or many intricate other things with:

Now we can program complex things without making explicit reference to iteration:

```
(define (sum-odd-squares from to)
 (accumulate-stream
  +
  0
  (stream-map square
               (stream-filter odd?
                              (stream-enumerate-interval from to)))))
(define (integral f lo hi dx)
 (* dx
    (accumulate-stream
     +
     0
     (stream-map f
                  (stream-map (lambda (x) (+ lo x)))
                              (stream-scale dx
                                             (stream-enumerate-interval
                                              0
                                              (ceiling (/ (- hi lo) dx)))))))))
```

But why did we need the stream abstraction? Why not just use lists? It turns out that with a very simple twist to the implementation of our abstraction we get streams *infinitely* more powerful than lists.

Suppose we have a special form delay such that (delay *exp*) does not evaluate *exp*, but rather returns an object that will later evaluate *exp* when that object is given to a procedure force as its argument.

Now we slightly modify our constructor and selectors. In particular we make cons-stream into a special form, so that its second argument does not get evaluated until we look at it with stream-cdr.

(cons-stream <a>) equivalent to (cons <a> (delay)) (define (stream-car stream) (car stream) ;; same as before (define (stream-cdr stream) (force (cdr stream))) It turns out that delay and force are not too outrageous.

```
(delay <exp>) equivalent to (lambda () <exp>)
(define (force delayed-object)
  (delayed-object))
```

Now we can make infinite streams! As we don't look too far along them they won't be there and we won't have any problems.

is an infinite stream of all integers not divisible by 7.

(stream-ref no-sevens 100) ==> 117

We can manipulate it just like a finite sized object.

(define primes (sieve (integers-starting-from 2)))

Recursive definitions of infinite streams: