



```
Often we desire to apply sequences of operations to vectors. For instance, we might want to
      translate a point to the origin, rotate it about some vector, and then translate it back. In order to
      specify and interpret such sequences, you should become proficient at reading matrix
      expressions.
     The expression
                                                         \vec{\mathbf{v}}^t \mathbf{c} \Rightarrow \vec{\mathbf{v}}^t \mathbf{M} \mathbf{c}
      can be read in one of two ways depending on the associativity of the multiplication.
      Associating the left part of the expression is interpreted as changing the basis while keeping the
      coordinates fixed
                                                   \vec{v}^t c \Rightarrow (\vec{v}^t \mathbf{M}) c = \vec{l}^t c
      Associating the right part of the expression is interpreted as changing coordinates while
      keeping the basis fixed
                                                   \vec{v}^t c \Longrightarrow \vec{v}^t (\mathbf{M} c) = \vec{v}^t d
                                                               Slide 7
                          Lecture 9
                                                                                                6.837 Fall '00
                                                                                                                       leas a
   to BAC
http://graphics.lcs.mit.edu/classes/6.837/F00/Lecture09/Slide07.html [10/12/2000 3:30:37 PM]
Lecture 9 --- 6 837 Fall '00
                                   The Basis is Important!
     If you are given coordinates and told to transform them using a matrix, you have not been given
     enough information to determine the final mapping.
     Consider the matrix:
                        \mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
     If we apply this matrix to coordinates there
                                                                 These illustrations show the significance of the
     must be some implied basis, because
                                                                 basis when transforming vectors.
     coordinates alone are not geometric entities (a
                                                                                     (Click on the images below)
     basis is required to convert coordinates into a
     vector). Assume this implied basis is \vec{w}^t. Thus,
     our coordinates describe the vector \vec{v} = \vec{w}^t c.
     The resulting transform, \vec{w}^t c \Rightarrow \vec{w}^t M c, will
     stretch this vector by a factor of 2 in the
     direction of the first element of the basis set. Of
     course that direction depends entirely on \vec{w}^t.
                         Lecture 9
                                                               Slide 8
                                                                                                6.837 Fall '00
   te Bach
                                                                                                                        http://graphics.lcs.mit.edu/classes/6.837/F00/Lecture09/Slide08.html [10/12/2000 3:30:39 PM]
```

How to Read a Matrix Expression

Lecture 9 --- 6.837 Fall '00



Points

Points and vectors are different concepts. A point is a fixed place in space. A vector can be thought of as the motion between points. As mentioned previously, we will distinguish between points and vectors in our notation.

Points are denoted as \dot{p} and vectors as \vec{v} .

Furthermore, we will consider vectors to live in the linear space R^3 and points to to live in the Affine space A^3 . Let's consider this distinction.

Conceptually, the operations of addition and multiplication by a scalar are well defined for vectors. The addition of 2 vectors expresses the concatenation of 2 motions. Multiplying a vector by some factor scales the motion.

However, these operations don't make sense for points. What should it mean to add to points together? For example, what is Cambridge plus Boston? What does it mean to multiply a point by an arbitrary scalar? What is 7 times Brookline?



Lecture 9 --- 6.837 Fall '00

Making Sense of Points

There are some operations that do make sense for points. For instance, if you want to compute a vector that describes the motion from one point to another.



We'd also like to compute one point that is some vector away from a given point.

$$\dot{q} + \vec{v} = \vec{p}$$

One of the goals of our definitions is to make the subtle distictions between points and vectors apparent. The key distiction between vectors and points are that points are *absolute* whereas vectors are *relative*. We can capture this notion in our definition of a basis set for points. A vector space is completely defined by a set of basis vectors, however, the space that points live in requires the specification of an absolute origin. Lecture 9 Slide 10 $\frac{|c_i|^2}{|c_i|^2} = \frac{|c_i|^2}{|c_i|^2}$



6 837 Fall '00

Lecture 9 --- 6.837 Fall '00

Frames

In our basis definition, we will accommodate this difference between the spaces that points live in and the spaces that vectors live in. We will call the spaces that points live in *Affine* spaces, and explain why shortly. We will also call affine-basis-sets *frames*.

$$\vec{f}^t = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \vec{v}_3 & \dot{o} \end{bmatrix}$$

In order to use this new basis, we will need to adjust our coordinates. Noting that the origin component of our basis is a point, and remembering from our previous discussion that it makes no sense to multiply points by arbitrary scalar values, we arrive at the following convention for giving points (and vectors) coordinates:



Lecture 9 --- 6.837 Fall '00

Homogeneous Coordinates



Notice, how we have snuck up on the idea of *Homogeneous Coordinates*, based on simple logical arguments. Keep the following in mind: coordinates are not geometric, they are just scales for basis elements. Thus, you should not be bothered by the fact that our coordinates suddenly have 4 numbers. We could have had more (no one said we have to have a linearly independent basis set).

Note how this approach to coordinates is completely consistent with our intuitions. Subtracting two points yields a vector. Adding a vector to a point produces a point. If you multiply a vector by a scalar you still get a vector. And, in most cases, when you scale points you'll get some nonsense 4th coordinate element that reminds you that the thing you're left with is no longer a point.





Lecture 9 --- 6.837 Fall '00

Affine Combinations

There are even certain situations where it does make sense to scale and add points.

If you add scaled points together carefully, you can end up with a valid point. Suppose you have two points, one scaled by α_I and the other scaled by α_2 . If we restrict the sum of these alphas, $\alpha_I + \alpha_2 = I$, we can assure that the result will have *I* as its 4th coordinate value.



This combination defines all points that share the line connecting our two initial points. This idea can be simply extended to 3, 4, or any number of points. This type of constrained-scaled addition is called *affine combination* (hence, the name of our space). In fact, one could define an entire space in terms of the affine combinations of elements by using the α_i 's as coordinates, but that is a topic for another day.

6 837 Fall '00

http://graphics.lcs.mit.edu/cla Lecture 9 --- 6.837 Fall '00

Affine Transformations

Slide 13

s/6.837/F00/Lecture09/Slide13.html [10/12/2000 3:30:50 PM

As with vectors, we can operate on points using matrices. However, we will need to use 4 by 4 matrices since our basis set has four components. However, we will initially limit ourselves to transforms that preserve the integrity of our points and vectors. Literally, those transforms that produce a point or vector when given one of the same.

			C_1					a ₁₁	a_{12}	a_{13}	a_{14}	C_1	L
$\dot{p} = \left[\vec{v}_1 \right.$	\vec{v}_2	\vec{v}_3	$\dot{o} \Big] {\begin{array}{c} c_2 \\ c_3 \end{array}}$	$\Rightarrow \dot{p}' = \left[\vec{v}_1 \right.$	\vec{v}_2	\vec{v}_3	ò]	a_{21}	a_{22}	a_{23}	a_{24}	C_2	
								a ₃₁	a_{32}	a ₃₃	a ₃₄	C_3	
			1					0	0	0	1	1	

This subset of 4 by 4 matrices has the property that a point will be obtained from any input point, and a vector will be obtained from an input vector, independent of the point or vector's coordinates. This subset of matrices is called, you guessed it, the *affine* subset.

Our rules for interpreting left and right association that we developed when transforming vectors still apply here. We can transform affine frames and we can transform the coordinates of points. The next time we meet we will discuss, and give names to, various sub-subsets of these transformations. But doing so is merely mechanics, the magic is all here.

Lecture 9 Slide 14 6.837 Fall '00

http://graphics.lcs.mit.edu/classes/6.837/F00/Lecture09/Slide14.html [10/12/2000 3:30:52 PM]

Lecture 9 --- 6.837 Fall '00

Multiple Transforms

We will often want to specify complicated transformations by stringing together sequences of simple manipulations. For instance, if you want to translate points and then rotate them about the origin. Suppose that the translation is accomplished by the matrix operator \mathbf{T} , and the rotation is achieved using the matrix, \mathbf{R} .

Given what we know now it is a simple matter to construct this series of operations.

 $\dot{p} = \vec{w}^t \boldsymbol{c} \Longrightarrow \dot{p}' = \vec{w}^t \mathbf{RT} \boldsymbol{c} = \vec{w}^t (\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{c})) = \vec{w}^t (\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{c})) = \vec{w}^t (\mathbf{R}\boldsymbol{c}') = \vec{w}^t \mathbf{R}\boldsymbol{c}''$

Each step in the process can be considered as a transformation of coordinates.

Alternatively, we could have considered the same sequence of operations as follows:

$$\dot{p} = \vec{w}^t c \Longrightarrow \dot{p}' = \vec{w}^t \mathbf{RT} c = ((\vec{w}^t \mathbf{R})\mathbf{T})c = (\vec{x}^t \mathbf{T})c = \vec{v}^t c$$

Where each step is considered as a change of basis frames.

These are alternate interpretations of the same transformations. They mean entirely different things, however they result in the same set of transformed points. The first sequence is considered as a transformation about a *global* frame. The second sequence is considered as a change in *local* frames. Frequently, we will mix together these ideas in a single transformation.

http://graphics.lcs.mit.edu/classes/6.837/F00/Lecture09/Slide15.html [10/12/2000 3:30:54 PM

Lecture 9 --- 6.837 Fall '00

The Same Point in Different Frames

Given our framework, some rather difficult problems become easy to solve. For instance, suppose you have 2 frames, and you know the coordinates of a particular point relative to one of them. How would you go about computing the coordinate of your point relative to the other frame?

 $\dot{p} = \vec{w}^t c = \vec{z}_t$?

Suppose that my two frames are related by the transform S as shown below.

$$\vec{z}^t = \vec{w}^t \mathbf{S}$$
 and $\vec{w}^t = \vec{z}^t \mathbf{S}^-$

Thus, the coordinate for the point in the second frame is simply:

 $\dot{p} = \vec{w}^t c = \vec{z}^t \mathbf{S}^{-1} c = \vec{z}^t (\mathbf{S}^{-1} c) = \vec{z}^t d$

Even harder problems become simple. Suppose that you want to rotate the points describing some object (say a child) about some arbitrary axis in space (say a merry-go-round). This is easy so long as we have the transform relating our two frames.

 $\vec{w}^{t}\mathbf{M} = \vec{a}^{t} \text{ and } \vec{w}^{t} = \vec{a}^{t}\mathbf{M}^{-1} \quad \text{Thus,} \quad \vec{w}^{t} = \vec{a}^{t}\mathbf{M}^{-1} \Rightarrow \vec{a}^{t}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{M}^{-1} = \vec{w}^{t}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{M}^{-1}$ $\underbrace{\mathbf{Lecture 9}}_{\text{bilder}} \text{ Slide 16} \quad 6.837 \text{ Fall '00}$ $\underbrace{\mathbf{M}^{t} = \vec{a}^{t}\mathbf{M}^{-1} \Rightarrow \vec{a}^{t}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{M}^{-1} = \vec{w}^{t}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{M}^{-1}}_{\text{bilder}}$

