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The scope and scale of biological data is increasing at an exponential rate, as technologies like next-generation sequencing are becoming radically cheaper and more prevalent. Over the last two decades, the cost of sequencing a genome has dropped from $100 million to nearly $100—a factor of over $10^6—and the amount of data to be analyzed has increased proportionally. Yet, as Moore’s Law continues to slow, computational biologists can no longer rely on computing hardware to compensate for the ever-increasing size of biological datasets. In a field where many researchers are primarily focused on biological analysis over computational optimization, the unfortunate solution to this problem is often to simply buy larger and faster machines.

Here, we introduce Seq, the first language tailored specifically to bioinformatics, which marries the ease and productivity of Python with C-like performance. Seq is a subset of Python—and in many cases a drop-in replacement—yet also incorporates novel bioinformatics- and computational genomics-oriented data types, language constructs and optimizations. Seq enables users to write high-level, Pythonic code without having to worry about low-level or domain-specific optimizations, and allows for seamless expression of the algorithms, idioms and patterns found in many genomics or bioinformatics applications. On equivalent CPython code, Seq attains a performance improvement of up to two orders of magnitude, and a 175× improvement once domain-specific language features and optimizations are used. With parallelism, we demonstrate up to a 650× improvement. Compared to optimized C++ code, which is already difficult for most biologists to produce, Seq frequently attains up to a 2× improvement, and with shorter, cleaner code. Thus, Seq opens the door to an age of democratization of highly-optimized bioinformatics software.
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1 INTRODUCTION

DNA sequencing technologies have revolutionized life sciences and clinical medicine [Mardis 2017]. Today, state-of-the-art cancer treatment involves sequencing a tumor genome for diagnosis and treatment [Kamps et al. 2017]. Improvements in sequencing hardware have drastically reduced the laboratory cost of sequencing; thus, the biggest bottleneck and cost today in the sequence analysis pipeline is computational data analysis [Muir et al. 2016]. Indeed, reductions in sequencing costs over the past two decades have radically outpaced both Moore’s and Kryder’s Laws. Sequencing a genome in 2008 cost more than $10 million, but over the past several years the cost has fallen below the celebrated $1,000 mark, and is expected to soon surpass $100 per genome [Hayden 2014]. Unfortunately, the computational data analysis cost inherent in sequencing pipelines has not improved at the same rate and has already surpassed the cost of sequencing back in 2011 [Sboner et al. 2011]. In fact, it is expected to soon be cheaper to simply re-sequence an individual than to even store their raw sequencing data, let alone analyze it [Weymann et al. 2017]. By the same token, the number and size of sequencing datasets have and continue to grow exponentially, which will require better algorithms and software especially as the gap between Moore’s Law and sequencing data growth continues to widen.

Recent advances in next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies are continuing this revolution at a rapid rate, providing a means to study various biological processes through a genomic lens. Because of its novel capabilities and vast scale, NGS has even bigger...
computational needs, as terabytes of data need to be processed and analyzed with the aid of various novel computational methods and tools [Mardis 2017]. These tools (e.g. [Li et al. 2009a], [Li and Durbin 2009], [Zaharia et al. 2011], [McKenna et al. 2010], [Yorukoglu et al. 2016], [Shajii et al. 2018]) are used on a daily basis in research laboratories and have fueled major discoveries such as establishing mutation-disease links [Manolio et al. 2008] and detection of recent segmental duplications in the genome [Bailey et al. 2001].

Despite these advances, however, many contemporary genomic pipelines cannot scale with the ever-increasing deluge of sequencing data, although some have suggested exploiting the intrinsic structure of biological data towards this end [Berger et al. 2016]. This inability to scale has necessitated impractical and expensive ad hoc solutions such as frequent hardware upgrades and constant (re-)implementation of underlying software. Many promising methods are also too difficult to use and replicate [Peng 2011] because they are often manually tuned for a single dataset, further fueling the recent replication crisis [Baker 2016]. Finally, many tools are not maintained due to a lack of personnel, expertise and funds. This fact has led to many abandoned code repositories that cannot be easily modified to suit researchers’ needs, although being, in theory, vastly superior to the well-maintained alternatives.

The root cause of these problems lies in the general-purpose languages that are used for bioinformatics software development. The most popular programming languages, Python, R, and occasionally C++, are not designed to efficiently handle and optimize for sequencing data workflows. Even so, researchers often use high-level languages like Python or R to analyze NGS data since they allow for quick and easy expression of high-level ideas, despite a steep performance penalty. Alternatively, a researcher may manually implement low-level optimizations in a language like C. However, this task requires a considerable time investment and often results in hard-to-maintain codebases ridden with subtle bugs and tied to a particular architecture, especially in a field like computational biology where many researchers are not software engineers by trade. These issues are further exacerbated as the field shifts towards the use of third-generation portable sequencers that are powered by resource-limited devices [Lu et al. 2016], which warrant entirely different software designs and optimizations.

In this paper, we introduce Seq, a domain-specific language (DSL) and compiler designed to provide productivity and high performance for computational biology. Seq is a subset of Python, and therefore provides Python-level productivity; yet, the compiler can generate efficient code because the language is statically-typed with compile-time support for Python’s duck typing. Seq provides data types tailored to computational genomics and uses domain-specific information to optimize code. Our DSL allows computational biology experts to quickly prototype and experiment with new algorithms as they would in Python, without imposing the burden of learning a new language. Further, Seq is designed to hide all low-level, complex code optimizations from the end user. Unlike libraries, the Seq compiler can perform optimizations such as operator fusion, which are necessary in high-performance computational biology applications.

This paper makes the following contributions:

- We introduce Seq, the first domain-specific language (DSL) and compiler for computational biology.
- We introduce novel genomic-specific data types (e.g. sequence and k-mer types) and operators (e.g. for reverse complementation, k-merization, etc.), further augmented with additional language constructs such as compiler-optimized pipelines and genomic

matching, to both simplify the algorithmic descriptions of complex problems and to enable domain-specific optimizations.

- We design a statically-typed subset of Python tailored for bioinformatics applications, which operates entirely without expensive runtime type information and provides performance comparable to (and in many cases better than) C’s.
- We demonstrate how to use parallelism, prefetching, pipelining and coroutines to drastically improve the performance of Seq.
- We provide an implementation of the compiler and standard library.
- We show that many important and widely-used NGS algorithms can be made 70–175× faster than their Python counterparts as well as 2× faster than the existing hand-optimized C++ implementations.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a primer on computational genomics. Section 3 gives an example of the Seq language, followed by a description of the language design and implementation in Section 4 and domain-specific optimizations in Section 5. Section 6 evaluates the language, Section 7 describes related work, and the paper concludes in Section 8.

2 A PRIMER ON COMPUTATIONAL GENOMICS

The fundamental data type in computational genomics is the sequence, which is conceptually a string over \( \Sigma = \{A, C, G, T\} \), representing the four nucleotides (also called bases) that comprise DNA. Sequences come in several different forms, with varying properties such as length, error profile and metadata. For example, genome sequencing—a process that determines the DNA content of a given biological sample—typically produces reads: DNA sequences roughly 100 bases in length, with a substitution error rate less than 1% and metadata consisting of a unique identifier and a string of quality scores, indicating the sequencing machine’s confidence in each reported base of the read. Reads are often analyzed in the context of a reference genome, a much longer (in the case of human, 3 gigabase-length) sequence that represents the consensus sequence of an organism’s genome in its entirety. A standard first step in nearly any sequence analysis pipeline is sequence alignment, which is the process of identifying the position in the reference sequence to which a particular read aligns with the smallest edit distance (although many different formulations of this problem exist, such as finding all alignments under a given edit distance threshold). To this end, reads are typically first split into fixed length-\( k \) contiguous subsequences called \( k \)-mers, which are then queried in an index of \( k \)-mers from the reference to guide the alignment process, as shown in Figure 1a. The index itself is an abstract data type that maps \( k \)-mers to positions (also called loci) in the reference at which they appear, and is often implemented in practice as a hash table or FM-index [Ferragina and Manzini 2004; Li and Homer 2010].

Due to the large memory footprints of these structures (roughly 5 gigabytes for optimized FM-indices and tens of gigabytes for hash tables) given the size of the genome, coupled with their poor cache performance, many alignment algorithms spend a significant fraction of their time stalled on memory accesses; the fraction of stalled cycles in these applications can be over 70% depending on the input dataset [Appuswamy et al. 2018]. Once a candidate locus is found via the index (and possibly after several filtering steps), a full dynamic programming alignment is performed, usually via a variant of the Smith-Waterman algorithm. Because dynamic programming alignment is a key kernel in nearly all alignment algorithms, there has been substantial research into designing hand-optimized implementations that exploit SIMD vectorization for better performance [Farrar 2006; Suzuki and Kasahara 2018; Šošić
(a) Overview of the alignment process for sequencing data. A sequencing machine produces a read: a roughly 100 base pair DNA sequence randomly sampled from the donor’s genome. Most alignment algorithms then split this read into $k$-mers—fixed length-$k$ subsequences—and query these $k$-mers in an index of $k$-mers from the reference genome to determine candidate alignment positions. Finally, full dynamic programming alignment (typically via an adapted Smith-Waterman algorithm) is carried out to produce the final alignment.

(b) Overview of de novo genome assembly from sequencing data. Sequenced reads are partitioned into constituent $k$-mers, which are then taken to be nodes in a de Bruijn graph whose edges represent $(k - 1)$-length overlaps. Other formulations use $(k - 1)$-mers (two for each original $k$-mer) as nodes with the original $k$-mers represented by the edges. The assembled sequence corresponds to an Eulerian path on this graph.

Fig. 1. Visualizations of two standard computational genomics applications.
most common is to again partition the reads into \(k\)-mers, build a de Bruijn graph whose
vertices are these \(k\)-mers with edges indicating that a given \(k\)-mer overlaps with another,
and finally to find an Eulerian path through this graph, which would encode the assembled
sequence [Khan et al. 2018]. An overview of this process can be seen in Figure 1b. As in
alignment, there are several additional steps involved in practice, such as counting and
filtering \(k\)-mers, as well as error correction (as assembly is more sensitive to errors than
alignment) [Simpson and Durbin 2012].

Looking further downstream in the genomic analysis pipeline, computational biologists
employ a slew of techniques to handle the problems at hand. However, virtually any
downstream model or algorithm, regardless of its domain (machine learning, graph algorithms,
etc.), is built on top of the sequence manipulation building blocks described above. For
example, structural variation detection (the discovery of novel genomic rearrangements) starts
by analyzing read alignment irregularities to detect potential breakpoints of a rearrangement,
and proceeds by correcting those alignments via more advanced read alignment schemes that
utilize \(k\)-mers and FM-indices. Many other problems, such as mutation calling, gene copy
number variation detection, genome-wide association studies and cancer driver identification,
proceed in a similar fashion. Thus, the common threads between alignment, assembly and
many other applications in the genomics domain are the data types used (i.e. various types of
sequences like reads, reference or fixed-length \(k\)-mers) and the low-level operations performed
on them (i.e. some form of matching, indexing, splitting sequences into subsequences or
\(k\)-mers, reverse complementation, etc.). However, these operations are often embedded in
vastly different higher-level algorithms; compare, for example, the dynamic programming
involved in alignment and the de Bruijn graph path finding involved in assembly. For this
reason, we chose to expose these genomics-specific types and operations in a comparatively
lower-level language than many other DSLs, as we discuss in detail below.

3 SEQUENCE \(k\)-MERIZATION AND SEEDING – AN EXAMPLE

Seq provides built-in language-level facilities for seamlessly expressing many of the types
and design patterns found in genomics applications. As an example, consider reading a set
of sequencing reads from a FASTQ file (a standard format for storing reads) and querying
each read’s constituent \(k\)-mers in a genomic index. This process is commonly referred to
as seeding, and is the first step in nearly any sequence alignment algorithm [Li and Homer
2010].

An implementation of 20-mer seeding in Seq is shown in Figure 2. Seq uses the familiar
syntax of Python, but incorporates several genomics-specific features and optimizations.
\(k\)-mer types like \(k20\) (which represents a \(k\)-mer with 20 bases), for example, allow for easy
\(k\)-merization (the process of splitting a sequence into \(k\)-mers, done using \(\text{kmer}[\text{k20}]\) in
Seq) and reverse complementation (using \(\sim\text{kmer}\)). Similarly, pipelining—a natural model
for thinking about processing reads—is easily expressible in Seq, where a user can define
pipelines via the |\(\triangleright\) operator as shown in the figure. Seq can also speed up expensive index
queries via pipeline transformations that allow for effective software prefetching (\text{prefetch}
keyword). Compare this Seq implementation to the C++ implementation also shown in
Figure 2, which includes extensive boilerplate code for reverse complementation and FASTQ
iteration, and cannot perform the domain-specific pipeline or encoding optimizations made
by the Seq compiler, which in practice we find to attain upwards of 1.5–2\(\times\) speedups over
optimized C++ implementations.
from sys import argv
from genomeindex import *

# index and process 20-mers
def process(kmer: k20, index: GenomeIndex[k20]):
    prefetch index[kmer], index[~kmer]
    hits_fwd = index[kmer]
    hits_rev = index[~kmer]
...

# index over 20-mers
index = GenomeIndex[k20](argv[2])

# stride for k-merization
stride = 10

# sequence-processing pipeline
(fastq(argv[1])
|> kmers[k20](stride)
|> process(index))

Fig. 2. Example k-merization and seeding application in Seq and C++.

4 LANGUAGE DESIGN & IMPLEMENTATION

A critical barrier to any new language’s success in a particular field is its initial adoption, as most potential users already have a set of languages, environments and packages with which they are comfortable. This is particularly true in bioinformatics, where many researchers are biologists first and programmers second. For this reason, the Seq language borrows the syntax and semantics of Python—one of the most widely-used languages in bioinformatics—and adds several genomics-oriented language features and constructs. Indeed, most preexisting Python code will compile and run without modification in Seq, ultimately allowing the user to attain the performance of C/C++ with the programming ease of Python.

To achieve this, we designed a compiler with a static type system. It performs Python-style duck typing and runtime type checking at compile-time, completely eliminating the substantial runtime overhead imposed by the reference Python implementation, CPython, and most other Python implementations alike. Unlike these, we reimplemented all of Python’s language features and built-in facilities from the ground up, completely independent of the CPython runtime. The Seq compiler uses an LLVM [Lattner and Adve 2004] backend, and in general uses LLVM as a framework for performing general-purpose optimizations. Seq programs additionally use a lightweight (<200 LOC) runtime library for I/O and memory allocation; for the latter, CPython’s reference counting is replaced with the Boehm garbage collector [Boehm and Weiser 1988], a widely-used conservative GC that is a drop-in replacement for malloc.

(“Python” is henceforth used as a synonym for “CPython” unless otherwise specified.)
4.1 Building a statically-typed Python

Python is an interpreted language, and does not check for type consistency until necessary during runtime. Moreover, Python does not perform strong type checking in the formal sense: for any method call, the Python runtime simply queries the given object’s method dictionary (vtable) for the requested method and, if found, dispatches the call there. The same applies to operators, getters, setters and accessors, which are nothing more than syntactic sugar around specially-named *magic methods*. For example, the “+” operator is represented by the `__add__` magic method, while the list lookup `v[i]` is represented as `v.__getitem__(i)`. Importantly, the actual type of `v` is irrelevant (be it a list or anything else), so long as `v` defines `__getitem__`. This approach to typing is commonly referred to as *duck typing*.

Further, even variable resolution in Python is realized as simple dictionary lookups (e.g. via the `locals()` dictionary) that are maintained for each scope. On top of all this, these tables are updated during runtime, which allows end users to modify objects’ vtables during execution, making it possible to dynamically alter the behavior of a given object in virtually any fashion. This simple and clean design, together with a well-thought-out syntax, enables rapid prototyping and a great deal of flexibility without imposing artificial language design constraints, which is partly what has made Python popular in many different domains, bioinformatics notwithstanding.

However, this dynamism comes with a hefty price in terms of performance, as almost any method invocation or variable reference requires expensive dictionary lookups during runtime. Furthermore, the lack of any type annotations and the dynamic nature of objects necessitates delaying type checks until the given object is actually used, which can sometimes take place days after the Python script was initially run in the case of long-running programs (this is a common problem in bioinformatics, where many scripts take a long time to complete due to ever-growing input datasets, whereby rapid initial development is paid for by a slow debugging cycle). Moreover, this lazy approach to typing requires the developer to include numerous manual type checks and large test suites to ensure type soundness during execution. (Python versions 3.6 and later ship with the `mypy` type checker, which supports type annotations with ahead-of-time type checks. However, these annotations are optional and type inconsistencies are treated as warnings, meaning any type annotation is more of a guideline than a strict rule. We did, however, borrow `mypy`’s type syntax.) In most domains, this is a fair price to pay for Python’s ease and expressibility as compared to the alternatives. However, the lack of performance is significantly problematic in the context of computational biology, where an average dataset is on the order of hundreds of gigabytes in size, and where even a simple loop construct incurs enough overhead to render Python code hundreds of times slower than its C counterpart. Highly optimized Python implementations, such as PyPy or Numba, do not sufficiently address these problems as they are either bound to the same constraints as the original (CPython) implementation (i.e. dynamic runtime and lazy duck typing), or limited in scope solely to numerical types.

Despite its array of dynamic and runtime-oriented features, the full flexibility provided by Python is not commonly used in many domains. While type flexibility and dynamic object/type modifications are, for example, crucial for rapid web development, they are almost completely absent in high-performance scientific applications, and arguably even slow down the development cycle of such applications. For these reasons, we designed a strongly-typed alternative to Python’s runtime, which captures the subset of its dynamic features that is commonly used in the field of computational biology, and that can be resolved...
Fig. 3. Seq versus CPython during compilation and execution of a simple float assignment. CPython compiles to bytecode that omits all type information, and instead relies on runtime type information by virtue of metadata stored alongside the actual float value within the PyFloatObject structure. By contrast, Seq infers the type of \texttt{x} at compile-time and compiles the assignment to LLVM IR, which encodes type information. LLVM in turn compiles this to assembly or machine code.

\textit{at compile time.} In doing so, we trade some largely unneeded dynamism for greatly improved performance, which by contrast is gravy needed in the field.

\textit{Basic types.} Python has a relatively simple type system in which all types derive from the \texttt{object} base type. Some primitive types (such as integers and floats) are, for performance reasons, implemented directly in C within CPython’s runtime. However, even the C implementations of these types carry a significant overhead, as they still have to interoperate nicely with the rest of the Python ecosystem. As can be seen in Figure 3, a simple float object—arguably the most lightweight type Python has—consists of three pointers, an integer and finally the float value itself. This “metadata” is necessary for Python’s runtime type resolution and reference counting (we do note, however, that the \_\texttt{ob\_next} and \_\texttt{ob\_prev} pointers are compiled into the structure definition conditionally, and can be omitted). For these reasons, all high-performance Python libraries (such as NumPy) achieve their speed by dealing primarily with arrays or matrices that can be abstracted away from the Python runtime to the C level.

Seq follows a different design philosophy in terms of types. Primitive types such as \texttt{int}, \texttt{bool} and \texttt{float} map directly to the equivalent LLVM IR types \texttt{i64}, \texttt{i8} and \texttt{double}, respectively. As such, they incur no overhead whatsoever. Nevertheless, each of these primitives is still logically a fully-fledged type with a set of associated methods that can be extended by the user (e.g. type \texttt{int} has a method \_\texttt{add\_} for addition that can be statically patched); there is no overhead as all method dispatches are resolved by the compiler. Furthermore, Seq inlines all magic method invocations on primitive types (e.g. an \texttt{int\_\texttt{add\_}} call is compiled to a single LLVM \texttt{add} instruction).

More complex types typically compile to an LLVM aggregate type or a pointer to one. Aggregate types are used in place of Python’s tuples and named tuples (represented in
Seq by a new type construct), and are fully isomorphic to C structs. Pointers to aggregate
types—or, more precisely, reference types—are used to implement classes (represented in
Seq by a class construct). As usual, aggregates are passed by value while reference types
are passed by, unsurprisingly, reference. For example, the following Seq expressions have
types mapping to the indicated LLVM types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seq expression</th>
<th>LLVM IR type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;hello world&quot;</td>
<td>{i64, i8*}</td>
<td>struct of length and character pointer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1, 0.5, False)</td>
<td>{i64, double, i8}</td>
<td>struct of tuple element types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MyClass()</td>
<td>i8*</td>
<td>pointer to heap-allocated MyClass struct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MyClass().foo</td>
<td>{i8*, void (i8*)*}</td>
<td>struct of self and method function pointer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where the last example assumes foo is defined to be a method of MyClass that takes no extra arguments and does not return a value (i.e. def foo(self: MyClass) -> void).

In order to maintain compatibility with Python, class members can be deduced automatically by lexically analyzing a given class’s methods. Python’s built-in collection types—list, set and dict—are all modeled as reference types in Seq and bootstrapped as standard library classes implemented in Seq itself.

**Generic functions, methods and types.** Python’s lack of static typing allows any function to take objects of any type as an argument. This design philosophy does not translate well to strongly-typed compiled languages that do not use any form of runtime type information, as they typically require each function to explicitly specify input and output types.

Code compatibility with Python is of paramount importance for Seq, as it is unreasonable to expect users to manually annotate (or rewrite) their large codebases. Thus, Seq handles this problem by treating each Python function that does not provide type annotations as a generic function, where one or more input or output types cannot be deduced from annotations or a lexical analysis of the function body. In this case, each argument without a type annotation (referred to as an implicit generic) is replaced by a concrete type on demand at compile-time. For example, on encountering f(42) as in Figure 5, the compiler checks whether there is an instantiation of f that accepts an int argument, and if so routes the call there. If not, the compiler clones f’s AST and creates a new instantiation of the function that accepts specifically an int argument. (Note that, as far as LLVM is concerned, instantiations are just simple functions with unique mangled names.) This newly created function would produce an error if, for example, int did not contain an appropriate __mul__ method as required in the function body. Instantiations are created lazily on demand.

Unlike Python, Seq allows users to explicitly mark functions as generic and to specify explicit generic type parameters, allowing more complex type relationships to be expressed. For example, Figure 4 shows a higher-order function that only operates on generic nodes and functions (as T is an explicit generic type parameter). The argument types of item ensure that the argument function can take the argument node’s data as a parameter. Note that it is impossible for Python-style unnamed generics to cover this use-case without explicit isinstance checks. As shown in Figure 4, explicit type parameterization is optional even when explicit generics are present, as Seq performs type parameter deduction whenever possible.

Analogous reasoning applies to classes, where class members can be generic. Examples of such classes include list[T] and dict[Key,Value]. Unlike functions, implicit generics are disallowed in classes as they would impair readability and could lead to ambiguous instantiations during the class member deduction stage. Note that, as far as Seq is concerned, different
```python
class Node[T]:
    next: Node[T]
    data: T

def item[T,U](n: Node[T], f: function[T,U]) -> list[U]:
    return [f(n.data)]

n = Node(None, 5)
def foo(x: int) -> str:
    return str(x)
i = item(n, foo) # type parameters deduced as int and str
i = item[int,str](n, foo) # explicit specification also OK
```

Fig. 4. Seq’s explicit generic type parameters.

instantiations of functions and classes are treated as different types. Thus, `f(x: list[int])` and `f(x: list[float])` are represented internally as two separate functions, which allows Seq to optimize each instantiation according to its concrete argument types (albeit by sacrificing any kind of polymorphism in the current implementation).

**Duck typing.** Seq’s type system is designed to behave like Python’s if one uses Seq as a drop-in Python replacement without specifying explicit types. As long as the methods of every type are known at compile time (an invariant strictly enforced by Seq as it does not allow modifying methods during runtime), the compiler will deduce the argument/return types of all methods and instantiate any generic method as appropriate. Indeed, we find that this static instantiation-on-demand simulates duck typing reasonably well. Explicit type annotations enforce an extra layer of typing discipline on top of duck typing (à la mypy), and as such coexist peacefully with it.

**Type inference.** Any strongly typed language needs a way to infer the type of each variable present in a given program. Languages such as C or Pascal require end users to manually annotate each variable with a type. Other languages, such as C++11 or newer versions of Java, support uni-directional type inference by automatically deducing types of left-hand side terms based on right-hand side types. Initial versions of Seq also used uni-directional type inference, allowing users to say, for instance, `x = 5` instead of `x: int = 5`.

However, uni-directional type inference is not able to seamlessly handle a couple of common constructs in the Python language, including empty lists (e.g. `a = []`), nullables (e.g. `a = None`) and lambda functions (e.g. `lambda x: x+1`). With uni-directional inference, each of these constructs requires the user to provide manual type annotations (e.g. `a: list[int] = []`) even if the type can be inferred later. Because of this, Seq employs a Hindley-Milner-based bi-directional type inference algorithm to automatically annotate such types. We slightly modified the standard Hindley-Milner algorithm to support generic classes, functions and instantiations on demand. We also enforce an invariant where all types within a scope (be it a function scope, class scope or the top-level scope) must be fully deduced by the end of that scope. This implies that a function cannot return a non-instantiated generic type: `def f(): return []`, for example, will cause a compilation error, but `def f[T]() -> list[T]: return []` will compile successfully. Any weakly typed variable or lambda is instantiated as soon as possible (note that Seq treats lambdas as

1This is a recent addition to Seq, and is currently still in the testing stage. At the time of writing, Seq’s master branch uses uni-directional type deduction with added support for generics and type-less nullables. Note that this uni-directional version does not support lambdas.

Fig. 5. Seq’s implicit generic type parameters. The function \( f \) is declared to take a parameter \( x \) of unspecified type; the Seq compiler treats the type of \( x \) as generic and clones \( f \) on demand for each new input type, and subsequently deduces return types.

weakly typed constructs and does not generalize them—generalizations are only applied to generic functions defined with `def` and generic classes).

**Limitations.** The strongly-typed nature of Seq does come with some limitations compared to conventional Python. Since all types must be fixed at compile-time, a Seq program cannot (for example) create a collection of elements (e.g. `list`) with varying types. Seq’s tuples are also less versatile than Python’s: they cannot be iterated over if they contain different types, and a list cannot be cast to a tuple easily, as tuple sizes must be known at compile time. Seq also does not support method or class monkey-patching at runtime (but it does support this at compile time), nor indexing into a heterogeneous tuple with a non-constant index (as the type of the resulting expression would be ambiguous). Our type checker and instantiation algorithm also require each function to have a single return type. Finally, while Seq supports class extensions, it does not support subtyping (nor, therefore, fully-fledged polymorphism), meaning that `class A; class B(A)` will copy \( A \)'s methods to \( B \) without making \( B \) a subtype of \( A \) per se. With these trade-offs, Seq can perform all type-checking at compile time without sacrificing any runtime cycles for type enforcement, and without significantly hindering the expressibility of Python’s syntax. We have found that, especially in bioinformatics software, these language capabilities are seldom required (or at least can almost always be replaced by Seq-conforming alternatives with minimal effort). Consequently, these features are omitted in Seq at the time of writing. A brief list of differences between Seq and Python can be found in Appendix A.

4.2 Coroutines and generators

Generators—Python’s answer to streams and lazy data structures—are an integral part of most Python/Seq programs: even simple for-loops are realized as an iteration over a generator. While it would certainly be possible to implement generators as they are in CPython (heap-allocated `generator` objects that expose a `_next_` method for obtaining the next generated value), this would incur a substantial overhead, given how frequently generators are used.

Instead, Seq employs LLVM coroutines (also used by Clang versions 6 and later to implement the C++ Coroutines TS [Nishanov 2017]). The advantage of this approach is that,
when a generator is created and destroyed in the same function without escaping (by far the most common case in Python, similar to the for-loop example), LLVM’s coroutine passes are able to optimize out all the associated coroutine overhead, like coroutine frame allocation etc. Thereby, a typical Seq for-loop ultimately compiles to identical LLVM IR as the same loop expressed in C or C++, as shown in Figure 6.

4.3 Additional and genomics-specific language features

Sequence and k-mer types. Seq’s namesake type is indeed the sequence type: seq. A seq object represents a DNA sequence of any length and—on top of general-purpose string functionality—provides methods for performing common sequence operations such as splitting into subsequences, reverse complementation and k-mer extraction. Alongside the seq type are k-mer types, where e.g. k1 represents a 1-mer, k2 a 2-mer and so on, up to k256 (a reasonable upper bound on k-mer length in nearly any genomics application). Evidently, a key difference between the seq type

```python
dna = s'ACGTACGTACGT'  # sequence literal
for sub in dna.split(3, 2):
    print(sub)
for kmer in dna.kmers[k5](1):
    print(kmer)
    print(~kmer)  # reverse complement
for kmer in dna.kmers[k12](1):
    print(kmer)
```

Fig. 7. Example of seq and k-mer type usage.
and k-mer types is that, for the latter, the length is intrinsic to the type itself, much like how common integer types like int8, int32, etc. have a fixed bit-length.

Sequences can be seamlessly converted between these various types, as shown in Figure 7. In fact, this pattern is prevalent in many genomics applications, where longer sequences (be it a read, reference or anything else) are split into their constituent k-mers, and each is subsequently processed.

Pipelines and partial calls. Pipelining is a natural model for thinking about processing genomic data, as sequences are typically processed in stages (e.g. read from input file → split into k-mers → query k-mers in index → perform full dynamic programming alignment → output results to file), and are almost always independent of one another as far as this processing is concerned. Because of this, Seq supports a pipe operator: |>, similar to F#’s pipe and R’s magrittr (%>%) [Bache and Wickham 2014]. Pipeline stages in Seq can be regular functions or generators. In the case of standard functions, the function is simply applied to the input data and the result is carried to the remainder of the pipeline, akin to F#’s functional piping. If, on the other hand, a stage is a generator, the values yielded by the generator are passed lazily to the remainder of the pipeline, which in many ways mirrors how piping is implemented in Bash. Note that Seq ensures that generator pipelines do not collect any data unless explicitly requested, thus allowing the processing of terabytes of data in a streaming fashion with no memory and minimal CPU overhead.

An example of pipeline usage is shown in Figure 8, which shows the same two loops from Figure 7, but as pipelines. First, note that split is a Seq standard library function that takes three arguments: the sequence to split, the subsequence length and the stride; split(..., 3, 2) is a partial call of split that produces a new single-argument function f where f(x) = split(x, 3, 2). The undefined argument(s) in a partial call can be implicit, as in the second example: kmers (also a standard library function) is a generic function parameterized by the target k-mer type and takes as arguments the sequence to k-merize and the stride; since just one of the two arguments is provided, the first is implicitly replaced by ... to produce a partial call (i.e. the expression is equivalent to kmers[k5](..., 1)). Both split and kmers are themselves generators that yield subsequences and k-mers respectively, which are passed sequentially to the last stage of the enclosing pipeline in the two examples.

Pattern matching. Seq provides the conventional match construct, which works on integers, lists, strings and tuples. An example usage of match is shown in Figure 9. A novel aspect of Seq’s match statement is that it also works on sequences, and allows for concise recursive representations of several sequence operations such as subsequence search, reverse complementation tests and base counting, which are shown in Figure 10. Sequence patterns consist of literal ACGT characters, single-base wildcards (_) or “zero or more” wildcards (…) that match zero or more of any base.

![Fig. 8. Example of pipeline usage in Seq, where the two loops from Figure 7 are represented as pipelines.](image)

![Fig. 9. Example usage of match.](image)
# (a)
def has_spaced_acgt(s: seq) -> bool:
    match s:
        case s'A_C_G_T...':
            return True
        case t if len(t) >= 8:
            return has_spaced_acgt(s[1:])
        default:
            return False

# (b)
def is_own_revcomp(s: seq) -> bool:
    match s:
        case s'A...T' or s'T...A' or s'C...G' or s'G...C':
            return is_own_revcomp(s[1:-1])
        case s'':
            return True
        default:
            return False

type BaseCount(A: int, C: int, G: int, T: int):
    def __add__(self: BaseCount, other: BaseCount):
        a1, c1, g1, t1 = self
        a2, c2, g2, t2 = other
        return (a1 + a2, c1 + c2, g1 + g2, t1 + t2)

def count_bases(s: seq) -> BaseCount:
    match s:
        case s'A...': return count(s[1:]) + (1,0,0,0)
        case s'C...': return count(s[1:]) + (0,1,0,0)
        case s'G...': return count(s[1:]) + (0,0,1,0)
        case s'T...': return count(s[1:]) + (0,0,0,1)
        default: return BaseCount(0,0,0,0)

Fig. 10. Example usages of match on sequences in Seq. Example (a) checks if a given sequence contains the subsequence A_C_G_T, where _ is a wildcard base; such an operation may be present in an application that uses spaced seeds—non-contiguous k-mers that are shown to improve accuracy in some settings [Kucherov et al. 2015]. Example (b) checks if the given sequence is its own reverse complement, which is useful in certain sequence hashing schemes [Ondov et al. 2016]. Finally, example (c) counts how many times each base appears in the given sequence, which can e.g. be used to determine GC content (the fraction of bases that are G or C) [Šmarda et al. 2014].

External functions. Seq enables seamless interoperability with C and C++ via cdef functions, as shown in Figure 11. Primitive types like int, float, bool etc. are directly interoperable with the corresponding types in C/C++, while compound types like tuples are interoperable with the corresponding struct types. Other built-in types like str provide methods to convert to C analogs, such as c_str() as shown in Figure 11.

cdef sqrt(float) -> float
cdef puts(ptr[byte])
print sqrt(100.0)
puts("hello world").c_str()
**Type extensions.** Seq provides an `extend` keyword that allows programmers to add and modify methods of various types at compile time, including built-in types like `int` or `str`. This allows much of the functionality of built-in types to be implemented in Seq as type extensions in the standard library. Figure 12 shows an example where the `int` type is extended to include a `to` method that generates integers in a specified range, as well as to override the `__mul__` magic method to “intercept” integer multiplications. Note that all type extensions are performed strictly at compile-time and incur no runtime overhead.

Fig. 12. Example of type extension in Seq.

```python
extend int:
def to(self: int, other: int):
    for i in range(self, other + 1):
        yield i

def __mul__(self: int, other: int):
    print('caught int mul!')
    return 42

for i in (5).to(10):
    print(i) # 5, 6, ..., 10
# prints 'caught int mul!' then '42'
print(2 * 3)
```

5 OPTIMIZATIONS

5.1 Sequence encoding

The first and most straightforward optimization made by Seq is to 2-bit encode `k`-mer objects, as is commonly done in practice in performance-critical applications. In particular, we map `k`-mer types to the LLVM IR type `iN` where $N = 2^k$. This has the advantage of allowing `k`-mers up to $k = 32$ to fit into a single machine word on 64-bit architectures.

In order to support fast `k`-mer operations, we also conditionally compile various lookup tables into any Seq program that requires them:

- For reverse complementation, we create a complete 4-mer reverse complement lookup table, implemented as a global length-$4^4$ array indexed by encoded 4-mers, storing the encoded reverse complement of the given 4-mer at each index (hence, this array requires 256 bytes). Then, using the property $s_1 \parallel s_2 = \overline{s_2} \parallel \overline{s_1}$ (where $\overline{s}$ denotes the reverse complement of a sequence $s$ and $\parallel$ denotes concatenation), we can construct the reverse complement of an arbitrary `k`-mer by partitioning it into 4-mers and concatenating (i.e. shifting and bitwise-ORing) their reverse complements in reverse, each of which is given by the lookup table. For $k < 4$, or the remainder of a longer `k`-mer whose length is not divisible by 4, we can simply pad with A-bases to obtain a 4-mer then remove the corresponding T-bases in the reverse complemented 4-mer (recall the reverse complement of A is T). Another noteworthy aspect of this scheme is that, if we choose our encoding wisely, we get reversal for free as well. Specifically, if we 2-bit encode base $b \in \{A, C, G, T\}$ as $f(b)$ so that $f(A) = \sim f(T)$ and $f(C) = \sim f(G)$ (where $\sim$ is bitwise-NOT), then we can undo the “complementation” component of the reverse complement to obtain the original sequence in reverse by applying a simple bit inversion.

- For converting general sequences into `k`-mers, we compile a second lookup table that maps the ASCII characters A, C, G and T to their 2-bit encoded values, implemented also as a length-256 array. The encoding process then iteratively looks up each base in this array to construct the encoded `k`-mer.

- For converting `k`-mers back to sequences, we use a simple length-4 array that maps the 2-bit encodings back to ASCII. We note, however, that this is a far less common conversion than the previous one.
5.2 Parallelism

CPython and many other implementations alike cannot take advantage of parallelism due to the infamous global interpreter lock, a mutex that protects access to Python objects, preventing multiple threads from executing Python bytecode at once. Unlike CPython, Seq has no such restriction and supports full multithreading. To this end, Seq supports a parallel pipe operator `||>`, which is semantically similar to the standard pipe operator except that it allows the elements sent through it to be processed in parallel by the remainder of the pipeline. Hence, turning a serial program into a parallel one often requires the addition of just a single character in Seq, as shown by Figure 13. Further, a single pipeline can contain multiple parallel pipes, resulting in nested parallelism.

Internally, the Seq compiler uses Tapir [Schardl et al. 2017] with an OpenMP task backend to generate code for parallel pipelines. Logically, parallel pipe operators are similar to parallel-for loops: the portion of the pipeline after the parallel pipe is outlined into a new function that is called by the OpenMP runtime task spawning routines (as in `#pragma omp task` in C++), and a synchronization point (`#pragma omp taskwait`) is added after the outlined segment. Lastly, the entire program is implicitly placed in an OpenMP parallel region (`#pragma omp parallel`) that is guarded by a “single” directive (`#pragma omp single`) so that the serial portions are still executed by one thread (this is required by OpenMP as tasks must be bound to an enclosing parallel region).

5.3 Software prefetching for faster genomic index lookups

Large genomic indices—ranging from several to tens or even hundreds of gigabytes—used in many applications in the field result in extremely poor cache performance and, ultimately, a substantial fraction of stalled memory-bound cycles [Appuswamy et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2007]. For this reason, Seq performs pipeline optimizations to enable data prefetching and to hide memory latencies, an idea that has also been explored in previous work [Chen et al. 2007; Kiriansky et al. 2018]. The programmer must provide just:

- a `__prefetch__` magic method definition in the index class, which is logically similar to `__getitem__` (indexing construct) but performs a prefetch instead of actually loading the requested value (and can simply delegate to `__prefetch__` methods of built-in types);
- a one-line `prefetch` hint indicating where a software prefetch should be performed, which can typically be just before the actual load.

An example is shown in Figure 14. First, `prefetch` statements themselves compile to explicit invocations of the `__prefetch__` method, and functions containing `prefetch` statements (such as `process` in the figure) are converted by the compiler into coroutines that yield after each `prefetch`. Then, pipelines containing such functions as stages are transformed into loops that dynamically schedule multiple invocations of the newly created coroutine, where once one invocation yields or terminates, another is resumed or created by the scheduler.

```python
# sequence literal
dna = s'ACGTACGTACGT'

# (a) split into subsequences of length 3 with a stride of 2
dna |> split(..., 3, 2) ||> echo

# (b) split into 5-mers with stride 1
def f(kmer):
    print(kmer)
    print(~kmer)

dna |> kmers[k5](1) ||> f
```

Fig. 13. Example of parallel pipeline usage in Seq, where the two pipelines from Figure 8 are parallelized.
class MyIndex:  # abstract k-mer index

    def __getitem__(self: MyIndex, kmer: k20):
        # standard __getitem__
        return x

    def __prefetch__(self: MyIndex, kmer: k20):
        # similar to __getitem__, but performs prefetch
        return x

def process(read: seq, index: MyIndex):
    ... for kmer in read.kmers[k20](step):
        prefetch index[kmer], index[-kmer]
        hits = index[kmer]
        hits_rev = index[-kmer]
        ... return x

M = ...  # num. concurrent tasks
N = 0    # next coroutine slot to fill
k = 0    # next coroutine to execute
states = array[generator[T]][M]

for read in FASTQ("reads.fq"):
    if N < M:
        states[N] = process(read, index)
        N += 1
    else:
        while True:
            g = states[k]; g.next()
            if g.done():
                postprocess(g.promise())
                g.destroy()
                states[k] = process(read, index)
                break
            k = (k + 1) % M

    for i in range(N):
        g = states[i]
        if not g.done():
            while not g.done(): g.next()
            postprocess(g.promise())
            g.destroy()

Fig. 14. Transformations performed by Seq to enable effective index prefetching. Colored segments under pipeline transformations indicate where the specific stages show up in the resulting code. FASTQ is the standard file format for storing sequencing reads.
respectively. The transformed pipeline in Figure 14, for example, has several noteworthy components:

- $M$ is the number of concurrent coroutines to be processed, which ideally should be large enough to saturate the memory bandwidth of the processor as prefetches are performed. In practice, we choose $M$ conservatively to be 16, which also allows for software prefetching performed by other parts of the system, such as the garbage collector.
- $N$ is a variable indicating how many of the $M$ coroutine slots have been filled, and is only used at the start of the loop to actually fill the slots.
- $k$ is the next coroutine slot to be resumed by the loop.
- $\text{states}$ is the array holding the $M$ coroutine handles/frames (which have type generator in Seq). In reality this array is stack-allocated in the entry block of the function containing the pipeline. ($T$ is simply the original return type of $\text{process}$.)

The code generated in the loop body is that of a simple dynamic scheduler where:

- The if $N < M$ component initially populates the array of pending coroutines $\text{states}$.
- Inside the else clause is a loop that iterates cyclically through $\text{states}$ and resumes each coroutine. If a coroutine terminates (i.e. if $g$.done()), then the value returned by the coroutine (given by $g$.promise()) is sent through the remainder of the pipeline, as it would be in the original untransformed pipeline; then, the coroutine is destroyed and a new one is created to take its place.
- The final loop simply completes any remaining coroutines that have not yet terminated. Since the number of such coroutines is at most $M$, this loop just executes them sequentially.

By employing this scheme, the latency of one coroutine’s cache miss can be overlapped with useful work from another, increasing memory-level parallelism and overall throughput.

6 EVALUATION

We evaluated the performance of Seq on the following three benchmark suites, designed to mimic both hypothetical and real-world genomics applications:

2. Sequence manipulation suite, developed in-house (3 benchmarks)
3. Genomic index queries (2 benchmarks)

We compared Seq with C++ (compiled with both GCC and Clang), Julia, Python 2.7 as well as Shed Skin-translated [Dufour 2006] and Nuitka-compiled Python binaries. Other “compiled Python” implementations, such as Numba, are geared towards numerical rather string or DNA processing, and had issues efficiently compiling our benchmarks, or were abandoned. All experiments were run on a dual-socket system with Intel Xeon X5690 CPUs (3.46 GHz) with 6 cores each (totalling 12 cores and 24 hyper-threads) and 138GB DDR3-1333 RAM with 12MB LLC per socket. C++ implementations were compiled with -O3 -march=native. Julia was run with --check-bounds=no -O3 parameters. Shed Skin was run with -l -o optimizations, and Nuitka was run with noasserts,no_warnings options. Note that Seq binaries (unlike C++ or Julia) do include bounds checks.

For the Benchmarks Game suite, we used the FASTA, RevComp and k-nucleotide microbenchmarks. Other benchmarks in this suite are not directly relevant to genomics or bioinformatics in general, but we expect Seq’s performance on them to be on par with that
Fig. 15. Seq evaluation results on several genomics benchmarks, showing speedups over Clang. The Seq implementations used in these charts use Seq-specific types and constructs that are not available in Python. Note that only Seq, Clang and GCC were tested on the SNAP and SGA benchmarks. Seq performs at least as good as (and in many cases much better than) the C++ implementations in nearly every benchmark, excluding FASTA which, as we note in the text, is not as common a real-world application as the others.

of other LLVM-backed languages. Briefly, the FASTA benchmark entails generating random sequences in the FASTA format; RevComp entails reverse complementing a set of longer sequences, which is done through Seq’s domain-specific sequence type; k-nucleotide entails counting k-mers of various lengths, which we implemented using Seq’s k-mer types.

For the in-house suite, we designed three microbenchmarks that capture common genomics operations on a large set of reads:

(1) RC: Output the reverse complement of each read, also implemented using sequence types. Unlike RevComp, this benchmark runs on millions of shorter reads rather than a few long reads.

(2) 16-mer: Count the number of symmetric 16-mers in all reads (where a 16-mer is symmetric if its first half is identical to the reverse complement of its second half). The Seq implementation for this benchmark uses match on sequences, and is based on example (b) in Figure 10.

(3) CpG: Count the number of CpG regions (i.e. regions that consist of C and G characters, such as CGC but not CCC or GGG as they lack a G and C, respectively) in all reads, and report the lengths of the shortest and longest CpG regions in the sample.

The final benchmark suite demonstrates the utility of Seq’s domain-specific genomic index query optimizations. Here, we use the genomic indices implemented in the widely-used tools SNAP [Zaharia et al. 2011] (a sequence alignment tool) and SGA [Simpson and Durbin 2012] (a de novo assembly tool). SNAP uses a hash table of 20-mers, which we re-implemented from scratch in Seq (see Appendix B). SGA, on the other hand, uses an FM-index, whose C++ implementation we wrapped in Seq. Both indices are used to query k-mers from our test dataset. For both SNAP and SGA, we compared the performance of our base Seq implementation, a Seq version that performs pipeline optimizations for index prefetching (code difference of one line) and a C++ implementation. Both of these benchmarks consume roughly 30GB of RAM.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Seq (Py.)</th>
<th>Python</th>
<th>Nuitka</th>
<th>Shed Skin</th>
<th>Julia</th>
<th>Seq (Id.)</th>
<th>Speedup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FASTA</td>
<td>111.3</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.7–10×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RevComp</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>7–75×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$-nucleotide</td>
<td>255.8</td>
<td>174.1</td>
<td>211.8</td>
<td>196.0</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>10–13×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC</td>
<td>136.1</td>
<td>2,417.3</td>
<td>1,887.2</td>
<td>534.6</td>
<td>764.7</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>25–80×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CpG</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>3,591.0</td>
<td>1,596.4</td>
<td>1,336.9</td>
<td>947.7</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>18–70×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-mer</td>
<td>152.6</td>
<td>15,440.2</td>
<td>6,042.3</td>
<td>2,139.9</td>
<td>1,030.9</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>22–176×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Seq runtime compared to Python, Nuitka, Shed Skin and Julia (seconds). “Seq (Py.)” (Pythonic Seq) uses the same code as Python, whereas “Seq (Id.)” (idiomatic Seq) uses Seq-specific language features and constructs.

Each benchmark was executed five times for each language/compiler, and the averages are reported (with the exception of Julia, Python, Shed Skin and Nuitka, which were run three times as they took orders of magnitude longer in some cases). The input dataset consisted of 100 million 75bp DNA reads randomly chosen from the HG00123 sample [1000 Genomes Project Consortium et al. 2010] (because SGA’s index is an order of magnitude slower than SNAP’s, we downsampled our input dataset to 25 million reads for SGA). Results are shown in Figure 15, where speedups over Clang-compiled C++ are given.

6.1 Improvements over Python

Seq programs can be written in one of two ways: in plain Python or using idiomatic Seq (as in the implementations described above). The Pythonic implementations embody the conventions set by the Python community, and code written in this way can be easily run by both Python and Seq without modifications. The alternative style involves the use of idiomatic Seq constructs and data types to manipulate genomic data, which are not available in plain Python.

We compare the performance of Pythonic and idiomatic Seq implementations to that of Python, Nuitka, Shed Skin and Julia in Table 1. All of the implementations in the second benchmark suite (with the exception of idiomatic Seq) are line-by-line identical in terms of the algorithm. For example, the only difference between the Pythonic-Seq and Python implementations in the RC, CpG and 16-mer benchmarks is the lack of a `str.strip` call when reading the input (which Seq’s I/O library does not require). Even by just directly running Pythonic code, Seq is able to outperform Python by a factor of 15 to 100.

A similar pattern can be seen in the first benchmark suite, where Seq significantly outperforms both the Python and Julia implementations. The only exception is the FASTA benchmark, where Seq is slightly slower than Julia. While this could be further optimized, we chose to keep the version that is most similar to Python. Additionally, we note that the FASTA benchmark as specified by the Computer Language Benchmarks Game is not a realistic application in genomics, as one would rarely be generating sequences rather than reading them from a preexisting dataset.

Idiomatic versions further boost the improvement up to 175×, and showcase the impact of individual domain-specific optimizations: RC and RevComp utilize Seq’s highly optimized reverse complementation constructs; 16-mer showcases the gains—both in terms of readability and performance—of sequence-based `match` statements; $k$-nucleotide shows the performance improvement gained by using Seq’s native $k$-mer types. A few of the idiomatic versions also rely on pipelining to perform further optimizations (described below).

Note that runtime becomes prohibitive as the number of reads to be processed increases—while the performance of compiled Python (i.e. Nuitka, Shed Skin) and Julia is acceptable if...
### Table 2. Seq runtime compared to C++ as compiled with Clang and GCC (seconds). “Seq (Py.)” (Pythonic Seq) uses the same code as Python, whereas “Seq (Id.)” (idiomatic Seq) uses Seq-specific language features and constructs, with the exception of SNAP and SGA, where the difference is just a single prefetch statement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Seq (Py.)</th>
<th>C++/Clang</th>
<th>C++/GCC</th>
<th>Seq (Id.)</th>
<th>Speedup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FASTA</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>135.8</td>
<td>166.4</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>4.5×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RevComp</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>2.8×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k-nucleotide</td>
<td>328.1</td>
<td>450.5</td>
<td>327.5</td>
<td>211.9</td>
<td>1.5–2.1×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC</td>
<td>136.1</td>
<td>135.8</td>
<td>136.4</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>4.5×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CpG</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>0.9–1.1×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-mer</td>
<td>152.6</td>
<td>304.5</td>
<td>302.5</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>3.5×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAP</td>
<td>453.0</td>
<td>569.3</td>
<td>610.1</td>
<td>409.6</td>
<td>1.4–1.5×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA</td>
<td>328.1</td>
<td>450.5</td>
<td>327.5</td>
<td>211.9</td>
<td>1.5–2.1×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given the results above, the comparisons below focus only on the C++ implementations.

### 6.2 Improvements over C++

Table 2 compares the Seq and C++ implementations of each benchmark. Again, all of these implementations (with the exception of idiomatic Seq) are line-by-line identical in terms of the algorithm. The performance of Pythonic Seq code is on par with that of C++ code—in most cases, it is the same as or slightly better than Clang’s (we use Clang as a baseline since both Clang and Seq rely on LLVM for general-purpose optimizations). Note that g++ is sometimes able to outperform the LLVM-based backends of Seq and Clang. However, once Seq applies domain-specific optimizations, it outperforms even g++ by up to 7×. For example, in the third set of real-world benchmarks (SNAP and SGA), Seq achieves a 50% speedup after adding a one-line domain-specific prefetch statement to the original code, resulting in up to a 2× speedup over C++.

**Prefetch variability:** Index prefetching is useful during genomic index lookups, and is able to speed up both k-mer hash tables and FM-indices by 50%. However, we observed the performance of prefetching to be application- and data-dependent: while in almost all evaluated datasets (spanning various technologies such as recent third-generation 10X Genomics linked-reads [Zheng et al. 2016] and “classic” second-generation Illumina short-reads; detailed results omitted for brevity) it produces a steady improvement in the range 20–50%, in one dataset it led to a 35% slowdown. However, the fact that it is a one-line change means that any user can easily experiment and judge whether it works well for their use-case.

### 6.3 Effects of parallelization

To evaluate the performance of Seq’s parallel pipelines, we implemented parallel versions of two of our in-house benchmarks, CpG and 16-mer (the third, RC, performs substantially more I/O and hence does benefit much from parallelism), as well as SGA’s FM-index querying (both with and without

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threads</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Speedup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CpG</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>3.8×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-mer</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>3.8×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA</td>
<td>355.1</td>
<td>184.0</td>
<td>125.4</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>3.7×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA (pref.)</td>
<td>217.7</td>
<td>128.0</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>3.0×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Seq runtimes on multiple threads (seconds).
prefetch optimizations). To this end, we “block” input reads into batches of 100,000, which
are processed as a whole by each task; tasks themselves are then executed in parallel via
Seq’s parallel pipe operator.

Results are shown in Table 3, where Seq scales almost linearly up to 4 threads on our
in-house benchmarks. For these small applications, we find I/O to be a bottleneck beyond
4 threads. In a real-world setting where reads would take substantially longer to process,
we would expect I/O to play a less significant role, allowing a greater degree of parallelism.
Taking these parallel implementations into account, Seq’s largest speedup over Python
(which cannot be easily parallelized due to the global interpreter lock) is over 650×. Finally,
Table 3 also shows that even Seq’s prefetching optimizations benefit from parallelization.

7 RELATED WORK

A few methods have been proposed to aid in the development of bioinformatics tools
and workflows. One approach focuses on building specialized libraries for genomic data
manipulation. Examples include C++ libraries such as SeqAn [Döring et al. 2008] and htslib [Li
et al. 2009b], and high-level libraries such as BioPerl and BioPython [Cock et al. 2009].
However, none of these libraries solve the aforementioned problems, as none can both scale
with the size of NGS data and allow for sufficiently high-level representations of bioinformatics
or genomics algorithms. Additionally, the use of libraries prevents optimizations like operator
fusion, or the pipeline transformations made by Seq. Another line of work focuses on
integrating various high-level code blocks into a pipeline framework that can be efficiently
run on large clusters and cloud-based systems—examples include the Broad Institute’s
HAIL project and Workflow Description Language [Voss et al. 2017]. While these methods
indeed allow large-scale parallelism and a relatively high-level description of a given problem,
they are cumbersome to use as they require rather expensive infrastructural setup and
administration costs, and do not tackle the problem of single-machine optimizations, which
is still a significant bottleneck in many pipelines.

The DSL proposed in this paper is inspired by many successful DSLs that already exist
in other fields of computer science [Abadi et al. 2016; Baghdadi et al. 2019; Chafi et al.
2018]. Despite their substantial success in these other areas, computational biology has
yet to adopt a comparable DSL. SARVAVID [Mahadik et al. 2016] is a DSL designed for
computational genomics applications, which provides a set of high-level genomics kernels and
exposes them as language constructs. For example, common operations such as k-merization,
index-generation, index-lookup, similarity-computation and clustering are provided.
While such an approach provides efficient implementations of these kernels and combinations
thereof, it lacks generality, which is gravely needed in the field as new sequencing technologies
produce new types of data that in turn necessitate novel algorithms. Seq aims to provide
a more general, lower-level language, with general-purpose constructs that can be used to
build a variety of kernels efficiently. While there also exist a few general-purpose languages
optimized for scientific computing such as Julia [Bezanson et al. 2012] and MATLAB, neither
of these languages is designed for computational biology workflows.

On the Python side, recent Python standards introduced type hints, which allow static
type checking [van Rossum 2015]. Projects such as Cython [Behnel et al. 2011], PyPy [Bolz
et al. 2009], Numba [Lam et al. 2015], Shed Skin [Dufour 2006] and Nuitka [Hayen 2012] all
aim to generate efficient code by relying on ideas such as static type checking and (JIT-)
compiling rather than interpreting Python. While Seq is similar to these languages in that it
is indeed compiled and uses static type checking, Seq also uses domain-specific information

to apply further code optimizations, and introduces data types that are tailored to the field of computational biology. Furthermore, many of these other implementations still rely on the Python runtime, and are thus bound to its inherent performance overhead. For the sake of completeness, a comprehensive comparison between Seq and other Python implementations is given in Table 4. In this work, we chose to compare to Nuitka and Shed Skin primarily because other similar implementations (e.g. Numba, Pythran, Pyston, Grumpy) are either geared more towards scientific/numerical computing or no longer under active development.

### Table 4. Comparison between Seq and other Python implementations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Compilation</th>
<th>Unknown types allowed?</th>
<th>Full Python?</th>
<th>CPython runtime?</th>
<th>Multi-threading?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPython</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Bytecode</td>
<td>Interpreted</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seq</td>
<td>Bio.</td>
<td>LLVM IR</td>
<td>AOT</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cython</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>AOT</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PyPy</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Bytecode</td>
<td>Interpreted</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numba</td>
<td>Sci.</td>
<td>LLVM IR</td>
<td>JIT</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuitka</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>C++</td>
<td>AOT</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pythran</td>
<td>Sci.</td>
<td>C++</td>
<td>AOT</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyston</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>LLVM IR</td>
<td>JIT</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOPE</td>
<td>Astro.</td>
<td>C++</td>
<td>JIT</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shed Skin</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>C++</td>
<td>AOT</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grumpy</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Go</td>
<td>AOT</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8 **CONCLUSION**

We have introduced Seq, a new language for computational biology that offers the productivity of Python and the performance of C. Thereby, Seq bridges the gap between computationalists who seek to write performance-critical code for a particular application, and biologists whose day-to-day workflow involves rapid development and prototyping of new ideas and algorithms. Through Seq, we introduce several novel genomics-specific language constructs and optimizations, which collectively attain a 175× performance improvement over standard Python, and a 2–7× improvement over C++. Future work includes exploring a wider range of domain-specific optimizations that exploit the unique structure of biological data.


A SEQ VS. PYTHON – A CHEAT SHEET

Additional types

- seq: Represents a genomic sequence.
- kN (1 ≤ N ≤ 128): Represents a k-mer of length N.
- iN (1 ≤ N ≤ 256): Represents a signed N-bit integer (standard int is an i64).
- uN (1 ≤ N ≤ 256): Represents an unsigned N-bit integer.
- ptr[T]: Represents a pointer to type T; primarily useful for C interoperability.
- array[T]: Represents an array of type T (essentially a pointer with a length).

Additional keywords

- type: Declares a named tuple or a type alias.
- match/case/default: Match statement
- extend: Adds the given methods to an existing type.
- cdef: Declares an externally-defined C function.
- prefetch: Prefetches the given items in the given index objects.

Seq also provides __ptr__ for obtaining a pointer to a variable, and __array__ for declaring stack-allocated fixed-size arrays.

Static types

Because Seq is statically-typed, lists (for example) cannot contain elements of different types as they can in Python. Similarly, a variable cannot be assigned to objects of different types, nor can a function return objects of different types. Seq currently also does not support polymorphism.

Tuples

Tuples in Seq are implemented as structures. Consequently, heterogeneous tuples can only be indexed by a constant value, since otherwise the type of the index expression would be ambiguous. Similarly, iteration over a tuple is only possible if it is homogeneous.

Scopes

Seq enforces slightly stricter variable scoping rules than standard Python. In short, a variable cannot be first assigned in a block then used afterwards for the first time in the enclosing block. This restriction avoids the problem of uninitialized variables.

B CODE FROM SNAP BENCHMARK

SNAP uses a hierarchical hash table as its genomic index. To index k-mers (k ≥ 16), an array of $4^{k-16}$ quadratic probing hash tables is created, indexed by every possible length-$(k - 16)$ prefix. Each constituent hash table is then a mapping of 16-mer to genomic loci at which that 16-mer appears. To handle multiple loci for a single k-mer, an auxiliary array is used, and hash table values can be pointers into this array (determined by whether the value is greater than the largest locus). This hierarchical structure exploits the fact that not every length-$(k - 16)$ prefix appears in the genome with equal frequency, so the size of each internal hash table can be chosen based on the corresponding prefix’s frequency.

SNAP’s index is implemented in C++, and can be found at https://github.com/amplab/snap. Below, we give the Seq implementation as well as the Seq and C++ code for querying, which was used in the SNAP benchmark. Note that loading the precomputed table from disk is still done in C++, and wrapped in Seq.
# File: hashtable.seq
# Implementation of SNAP aligner’s hash table
# https://github.com/amplab/snap/blob/master/SNAPLib/HashTable.{cpp,h}
# Need the following hooks linked to convert C++ SNAPHashTable to Seq object:
# snap_hashtable_ptr(ptr[byte]) -> ptr[tuple[K,V]] -- extract table pointer
# snap_hashtable_len(ptr[byte]) -> int -- extract table length
# snap_hashtable_invalid_val(ptr[byte]) -> V -- extract "invalid" value

QUADRATIC_CHAINING_DEPTH = 5

class SNAPHashTable[K,V]:
    table: array[tuple[V,K]]
    invalid_val: V
    def _hash(k):
        key = hash(k)
        key ^= int(u64(key) >> u64(33))
        key *= 0xff51afd7ed558ccd
        key ^= int(u64(key) >> u64(33))
        key *= 0xc4ceb9fe1a85ec53
        key ^= int(u64(key) >> u64(33))
        return key
    def __init__(self: SNAPHashTable[K,V], size: int, invalid_val: V):
        self.table = array[tuple[V,K]](size)
        self.invalid_val = invalid_val
        for i in range(size):
            self.table[i] = (invalid_val, K())
    def __init__(self: SNAPHashTable[K,V], p: ptr[byte]):
        cdef snap_hashtable_ptr(ptr[byte]) -> ptr[tuple[V,K]]
        cdef snap_hashtable_len(ptr[byte]) -> int
        cdef snap_hashtable_invalid_val(ptr[byte]) -> V
        self.table = array[tuple[V,K]](snap_hashtable_ptr(p), snap_hashtable_len(p))
        self.invalid_val = snap_hashtable_invalid_val(p)
    def _get_index(self: SNAPHashTable[K,V], where: int):
        return int(u64(where) % u64(len(self.table)))
    def get_value_ptr_for_key(self: SNAPHashTable[K,V], k: K):
        table = self.table
        table_size = table.len
        table_index = self._get_index(SNAPHashTable[K,V]._hash(k))
        invalid_val = self.invalid_val
        entry = table[table_index]
        if entry[1] == k and entry[0] != invalid_val:
            return ptr[V](table.ptr + table_index)
        else:
            n_probes = 0
            while True:
                n_probes += 1
                if n_probes > table_size + QUADRATIC_CHAINING_DEPTH:
                    return ptr[V]()
                diff = (n_probes**2) if n_probes < QUADRATIC_CHAINING_DEPTH else 1
                table_index = (table_index + diff) % table_size
                entry = table[table_index]
                if not (entry[1] == k and entry[0] != invalid_val):
                    break
                return ptr[V](table.ptr + table_index)
            def __prefetch__(self: SNAPHashTable[K,V], k: K):
                table = self.table
table_index = self._get_index(SNAPHashTable[K,V]._hash(k))
(self.table.ptr + table_index)._prefetch_r3__()
    def __getitem__(self: SNAPHashTable[K,V], k: K):
        p = self.get_value_ptr_for_key(k)
        return p[0] if p else self.invalid_val

# File: genomeindex.seq
# Implementation of SNAP aligner’s genome index
# https://github.com/amplab/snap/blob/master/SNAPLib/GenomeIndex.{cpp,h}

# Need the following hooks linked to convert C++ GenomeIndex to Seq object:
# snap_index_from_dir(ptr[byte]) -> ptr[byte] -- read object from specified directory
# snap_index_ht_count(ptr[byte]) -> int -- extract hash table count
# snap_index_ht_get(ptr[byte], int) -> ptr[byte] -- extract specified (0-indexed) hash table
# snap_index_overflow_ptr(ptr[byte]) -> int -- extract overflow table pointer
# snap_index_count_of_bases(ptr[byte]) -> int -- extract count of genome bases

from hashtable import SNAPHashTable

class GenomeIndex[K]:
    hash_tables: array[SNAPHashTable[k16,u32]]
    overflow_table: array[u32]
    count_of_bases: int

    def _partition(k: K):
        n = int(k.as_int())
        return (k16(n & ((1 << 32) - 1)), n >> 32)

    def __init__(self: GenomeIndex[K], dir: str):
        assert k16.len() <= K.len() <= k32.len()
        cdef snap_index_from_dir(ptr[byte]) -> ptr[byte]
        cdef snap_index_ht_count(ptr[byte]) -> int
        cdef snap_index_ht_get(ptr[byte], int) -> ptr[byte]
        cdef snap_index_overflow_ptr(ptr[byte]) -> ptr[u32]
        cdef snap_index_overflow_len(ptr[byte]) -> int
        cdef snap_index_count_of_bases(ptr[byte]) -> int
        p = snap_index_from_dir(dir.c_str())
        assert p
        self.hash_tables = array[SNAPHashTable[k16,u32]](snap_index_ht_count(p))
        for i in range(len(self.hash_tables)):
            self.hash_tables[i] = SNAPHashTable(k16,u32)(snap_index_ht_get(p, i))
        self.overflow_table = array[u32](snap_index_overflow_ptr(p), snap_index_overflow_len(p))
        self.count_of_bases = snap_index_count_of_bases(p)

    def __getitem__(self: GenomeIndex[K], seed: K):
        kmer, which = GenomeIndex[K]._partition(seed)
        table = self.hash_tables[which]
        value_ptr = table.get_value_ptr_for_key(kmer)
        if not value_ptr or value_ptr[0] == table.invalid_val:
            return array[u32](value_ptr, 0)
        value = value_ptr[0]
        if int(value) < self.count_of_bases:
            return array[u32](value_ptr, 1)
        else:
            overflow_table_offset = int(value) - self.count_of_bases
            hit_count = int(self.overflow_table[overflow_table_offset])
            return array[u32](self.overflow_table.ptr + overflow_table_offset + 1, hit_count)

    def __prefetch__(self: GenomeIndex[K], seed: K):
        kmer, which = GenomeIndex[K]._partition(seed)
        table = self.hash_tables[which]
        table.__prefetch__(kmer)
# File: snap.seq
# k-mer counting using SNAP’s hash table

from genomeindex import *
from sys import argv

type K = k20
good = 0
bad = 0

def process(kmer: K, index: GenomeIndex[K]):
    global good, bad
    prefetch index[kmer], index[~kmer]
    hits = index[kmer]
    hits_rc = index[~kmer]
    if len(hits) > 0 or len(hits_rc) > 0:
        good += 1
    else:
        bad += 1

assert len(argv) == 3
index = GenomeIndex[K](argv[1])
step = 10
fastq(argv[2]) |> kmers[K](step) |> process(index)
print good, bad

// File: snap.cpp
// k-mer counting using SNAP's hash table

#include <iostream>
#include <fstream>
#include <cstdlib>
#include <cstdint>
#include <cassert>
using namespace std;

extern "C" void *snap_index_from_dir(char *dir);
extern "C" void snap_index_lookup(void *idx, char *bases, int64_t *nHits, const unsigned **hits, int64_t *nRCHits, const unsigned **rcHits);

// filter ambiguous bases from sequences
static bool hasN(char *kmer, unsigned len)
{
    for (unsigned i = 0; i < len; i++) {
        if (kmer[i] == 'N')
            return true;
    }
    return false;
}

int good = 0;
int bad = 0;

int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    assert(argc == 3);
    void *idx = snap_index_from_dir(argv[1]);
    const unsigned k = 20;
    const unsigned step = 10;
    unsigned hit = 0;
    unsigned hit_rc = 0;
    int64_t n_hits = 0;
    int64_t n_hits_rc = 0;
    const unsigned *hit_p = &hit;
    const unsigned *hit_rc_p = &hit_rc;

    ifstream fin(argv[2]);
    string read;
    long line = -1;
    while (getline(fin, read)) {
        line++;
        if (line % 4 != 1) continue; // skip non-sequences in FASTQ
        unsigned max_pos = 0, max_count = 0;
        char *buf = (char *)read.c_str();
        unsigned len = read.size();

        for (unsigned i = 0; i + k <= len; i += step) {
            if (hasN(&buf[i], k)) continue;
            snap_index_lookup(idx, &buf[i], &n_hits, &hit_p, &n_hits_rc, &hit_rc_p);
            ++((n_hits > 0 || n_hits_rc > 0) ? good : bad);
        }
    }
    cout << good << " " << bad << endl;
    return 0;
}